mobilization of social interests towards new social and economic goals, not compromising the welfare state tradition but reinventing its material basis. So far, the result is spectacular. Schienstock and his co-authors give an excellent portrayal of the institutional renovation of Finland in its the first decade as an innovative society, a rare example of an innovation system developed by design rather than by default.

Mats Benner Research Policy Institute Lund University, Sweden mats.benner@fpi.lu.se

Robin Williams, James Stewart and Roger Slack: Social Learning in Technological Innovation: Experimenting with Information and Communication Technologies. Edward Elgar: Cheltenham, 2005. 288 pages, ISBN 1-84376-729-5

New technology shapes society, organizations and everyday life. At the same time, technologies themselves are shaped throughout their design and use. But how does this mutual shaping take place? Social learning in technological innovation is a timely contribution to this core topic of science and technology studies. The book integrates, complements and critically evaluates the understanding of design and uptake of new technology in innovation studies and science and technology studies. In doing so, it lemphasizes the recent upsurge of studies in appropriation and consumption of technology. In part A, the authors introduce what the "social learning" perspective entails for 'understanding the process of innovation in the application of ICTs'. Part B explores the implications of this understanding under the heading "rethinking innovation models and technology policy perspectives".

The book results from a large EU project "Social learning in multimedia" that examined the design, use and trials set-up for multimedia technologies in late 1990s through 23 case studies in seven European countries. While these studies form the backbone of analysis, the emphasis of the book is in mapping out the generic process of innovation and concepts for understanding it. The cases are presented as page-long vignettes, as they are published elsewhere as two other books, reports, and articles. While this scarcity of empirical material is helpful in keeping the process descriptions and conceptual discussion clear, it does give away some of the sense of grounding and intricacy of the models and concepts.

The authors argue that there is a "design fallacy" in technology studies. In their concern with how technology affects organizations, work and the life of people, early work (such as Bijker et al., 1987; Akrich, 1992; Akrich, 1995; Woolgar, 1991) placed an over-emphasis on the design of technology prior to its market launch. This was perhaps due to the strong concern with how technology affects organizations, work and life of people. However, the result was narratives that tended to emphasize the impact and immutability of designers' prior visions and designs. This led to seeing problems in the use of technology as an indication of failure of prior design and consequently, demonizing designers as omnipotent manipulators of users. Reciprocally, it has supported heroazing any users deviance from the designers script as creativity. The authors argue that such "narrative bias" results from studies resorting to temporally limited snap-shots of a technology's life-cycle. Biased models flourish when design, development, marketing, implementation, appropriation and domestication of technology are studied in separate studies using different methodologies.

The authors argue that this state of affairs has overshadowed more sophisticated models of innovation. They further argue that it ignores possibilities of intervention by overlooking the whole scope of times, places and actors involved in the shaping of technology during its entire life-span. The book addresses the findings of such a broader scope under four foci: process and space for social shaping and social learning, implications for technology design, the appropriation of technology and conduct and management of experiments in new digital technologies.

Contrary to recent hype, the authors reject the view that user-centred design or any other explicit technique at representing users in prior design could become the sole sufficient guarantee for achieving successful technology. "[O]ur integrated social learning model shows that design is not a one-off act, but is part of iterative series of activities, informed by earlier design practice and feedback from appropriation and use of other systems (earlier technologies in this application domain; similar technologies in related domains)" (110). This is illustrated with multimedia products, that are "configurational technologies" in that they come into existence only as a combination of various basic components, delivery systems, applications and products, their content programs, as well as user practices intertwined with other technologies.

The above considerations are condensed in the notion of "social learning": S&TS should not only concern itself with how new technology is socially shaped, but elaborate and support how designers, users, sales people, and agencies concerned with regulation and promotion of technology can learn and interact in creating socially acceptable, commercially viable, and desirable technologies.

A central argument in the book is that the kinds of learning, the parties involved and the dynamics related to this learning vary depending on the technology and the domain in which it is being used. The book offers four main models or constellations for clarifying the dynamics between design and use. Without going into further detail, all these models in their own way emphasize that prior design is always more or less unfinished, and can, for better or worse, invite the relevant stakeholders to learn ways of accepting and appropriating it. Much of the relevant knowledge, such as details of eventual uses, relationships with other artefacts, identities of final users, is largely unknowable to designers and prospective users before they gain experiences from actual use.

This view of design as configuration shows the relevance of studies on appropriation and domestication of technology employing cultural studies, gender, and ethnographic perspectives. At the same time, it offers a healthy reminder that these lines of research are in danger of reproducing the truncated, even biased narrative structures and entrenched perceptions of design and use if they fail to explore the implications of various appropriation processes beyond the local sites. The impact back to producer companies and regulatory bodies must be examined as well. While social learning happens simultaneously in multiple venues, it is often the mediation, learning and barrier crossing between designers, various users, regulators and other stakeholders through which new technologies gain their impact on society. This goes for technology as commercial product, generic design as well as a domesticated thing.

This observation leads the book to the conduct and management of digital experiments that could be helpful in speeding up learning and interaction between parties. In the 23 cases studied, most experiments veered towards modes of verification and control instead of diversification and experimentation. Not co-incidental was the virtual absence of systematic and organized bringing of users together with supply-side players. The authors provide an instructive discussion of the reasons for this, ranging from industry traditions to practical difficulties to the availability of alternative ways to represent users in design.

A noteworthy facet of the book is that the authors stress the relevance of grounded concepts from balanced case accounts. However, the books plea for such conceptually oriented case-studies covering the entire biography of a technology in detailed fashion is just what it leaves for others to accomplish. Nonetheless, the integrative and clarifying work conducted by the authors is timely and a highly needed resource for people involved design, use, research or evaluating and experimenting with new technology.

References

Akrich, M.

- 1992 The Description of Technological Objects. In W. E. Bijker & J. Law (Eds.), Shaping Technology - Building Society: Studies in Sociotechnical Change (pp. 205-224). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 1995 User Representations: Practices, Methods and Sociology. In A. Rip, T. J. Misa & J. Schot (Eds.), Managing Technology in Society, the Approach of Constructive Technology Assessment (pp. 167-184). London: Cassel Publishers Ltd.
- Bijker, W.E., Hughes, T.P., & Pinch, T.J. (Eds.).
- 1987 The Social Construction of Technological Systems: New Directions in the Sociology and History of Technology. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Woolgar, S.

1991 Configuring the User: The Case of Usability Trials. In J. Law (Ed.), A Sociology of Monsters: Essays on Power, Technology, and Domination. London: Routledge.

Sampsa Hyysalo

Center for Activity Theory and Developmental Work Research

University of Helsinki, Finland

sampsa.hyysalo@helsinki.fi